

R/3 Platforms: Windows NT vs. the Competition

STRATEGY PARTNERS
INTERNATIONAL



February 8, 1999

Authors	Henrik Klagges, IT Analyst, Strategy Partners International Helmuth Gumbel, Managing Partner, Strategy Partners International Dr. Hanns-Martin Meyer, Member of the Board, iXOS Software AG Bernd Schweikardt, Consultant, iXOS Software AG	
Copyright	© 1999 Strategy Partners International. All rights reserved, including any reprinting, copying, use or communication of the contents of this document or parts thereof. No part of this document may be reproduced, communicated to third parties, stored in any kind of electronic storage or retrieval system or broadcast without the express written permission of Strategy Partners. We reserve the right to update or modify the contents of this document at any time without prior notice. Violations of the copyright will be prosecuted worldwide. Each electronic and printed version of this document is made individually and contains a digital permutation watermark in its text that is unique and traceable to the original customer.	
Disclaimer	The information contained in this document has been obtained from sources deemed reliable and has been professionally screened and analyzed. However, Strategy Partners International and iXOS Software AG do not warrant that the information is correct, complete or adequate. Strategy Partners and iXOS Software AG disclaim any liability for mistakes, omissions or bad judgements regarding the information or its interpretation. The responsibility for the selection, perception and interpretation of the information in this document is completely the readers'. The opinions expressed in this document can change at any time without prior notice.	
Errata	Given the size of this report, isolated errors are unavoidable. Therefore, we have set up a webpage, www.strategypartners.com/errata.html , where we'll post corrections if they are needed. We reserve the final say in what constitutes an error in this report or not.	
Contact	Strategy Partners International Email: info@strategypartners.com Web: www.strategypartners.com P.O.Box 77 CH-7550 Scuol, Switzerland Telephone: +41-81-860031-0 Fax: +41-81-860031-4	iXOS Software AG office@munich.ixos.de www.ixos.de Bretonischer Ring 12 85630 Grassbrunn, Germany +49-89-46005-0 +49-89-46005-199
Availability	This report is available in printed and electronic (PDF) forms. For ordering, please send an email to orders@strategypartners.com or call our Swiss telephone number.	
Trademarks	Any trademarks mentioned in this report are the property of their owners.	

Table of Contents

1	MANAGEMENT SUMMARY	6
2	INTRODUCTION.....	13
2.1	OVERVIEW	13
2.2	GOALS	14
2.3	METHODS FOR MEASURING R/3 PERFORMANCE.....	16
2.3.1	<i>Explanation of the SAP SD Benchmark.....</i>	<i>16</i>
2.3.2	<i>Explanation of the tpmC Benchmark.....</i>	<i>18</i>
2.3.3	<i>The Relationship between SD and tpmC.....</i>	<i>19</i>
2.4	SERVER CONSOLIDATION	24
2.5	DISTRIBUTABILITY OF R/3.....	24
3	MICROPROCESSOR PERFORMANCE.....	26
3.1	EVALUATION METHODS	27
3.2	CURRENT MICROPROCESSORS.....	27
3.3	RANKING ACCORDING TO SPECINT95	27
3.4	RANKING ACCORDING TO SPECfp95.....	33
3.5	FURTHER OBSERVATIONS.....	35
3.6	TECHNOLOGY TRENDS	35
3.7	MICROPROCESSORS EXPECTED IN THE YEAR 2000	39
3.8	DEVELOPMENTS AFTER 2001.....	45
4.	SCALABILITY	48
4.1	WINDOWS NT.....	48
4.1.1	<i>The Effect of the 2nd-Level Cache</i>	<i>48</i>
4.1.2	<i>NT Scaling up to 4 Processors.....</i>	<i>50</i>
4.1.3	<i>NT Scaling up to 8 Processors.....</i>	<i>52</i>
4.2	UNIX.....	55
4.3	MAINFRAME ARCHITECTURES.....	57
4.3.1	<i>AS/400e.....</i>	<i>57</i>
4.3.2	<i>S/390</i>	<i>57</i>
5	HIGH AVAILABILITY AND CLUSTERING	59
5.1	SUMMARY	59
5.2	TRENDS	60
6	PERFORMANCE EXTRAPOLATION.....	62
6.1	32-BIT NT PERFORMANCE PREDICTION UNTIL 2002.....	62
6.1.1	<i>The NT Development Team.....</i>	<i>63</i>
6.1.2	<i>CPU Throughput, Processors and Parallelism</i>	<i>63</i>
6.1.3	<i>Performance of NT with off-the-shelf Technology</i>	<i>65</i>
6.1.4	<i>Performance of NT Clusters</i>	<i>68</i>
6.1.5	<i>New Ideas: VLM and Virtual Clustering</i>	<i>70</i>
6.2	UNIX.....	71
6.2.1	<i>HP-UX Unix.....</i>	<i>71</i>
6.2.2	<i>Sun Solaris Unix</i>	<i>74</i>
6.3	MAINFRAME ARCHITECTURES.....	78
6.3.1	<i>AS/400.....</i>	<i>78</i>
6.3.2	<i>S/390</i>	<i>79</i>
6.4	RESULT MATRIX FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS.....	81
7	TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP.....	83
7.1	<i>The SPI Application Cost Model SACOM</i>	<i>83</i>
8	R/3 PLATFORM RANKING	90

8.1	CURRENT SITUATION	90
8.1.1	Interpretation of tpmC Results.....	91
8.1.2	Interpretation of R/3 SD Results.....	97
8.2	PLATFORMS IN DETAIL.....	105
8.2.1	Compaq Alpha (NT & Unix)	106
8.2.2	Hewlett Packard HP-UX (PA-RISC and Merced)	108
8.2.3	IBM S/390	110
8.2.4	IBM AS/400e as Alternative.....	114
8.2.5	IBM RS/6000 and AIX Unix.....	116
8.2.6	Intel Pentium-II and Intel Merced with Windows NT	117
8.2.7	Java Network Computers.....	120
8.2.8	Linux on Intel Servers	121
8.2.9	NUMA Server (Data General, Sequent).....	123
8.2.10	Siemens RM and Reliant Unix	124
8.2.11	Sun Solaris	126
8.3	OVERALL RESULT MATRIX FOR R/3 PLATFORMS.....	128
9	POSITIONING OF THE R/3 DATABASES.....	130
9.1	OVERVIEW	130
9.1.1	Databases in Detail	130
9.1.2	Clusterability and High Availability.....	133
9.2	DATABASE COSTS	134
9.2.1	RDBMS Costs in General	134
9.2.2	RDBMS Costs with packaged R/3 Licenses	137
9.3	RECOMMENDED DATABASE STRATEGY	138
9.3.1	Result Matrix.....	138
10.	STRATEGIC CONCLUSIONS	139
10.1	SAP'S VIEW OF THE MARKET	139
10.1.1	Operating Systems and Hardware	139
10.1.2	Databases	140
10.2	THE DATABASE VENDORS' VIEW OF THE MARKET	141
10.3	THE HARDWARE VENDORS' VIEW OF THE MARKET	141
10.4	THE CUSTOMERS' VIEW OF THE MARKET	143
11	APPENDIX.....	146
11.1	ASSUMPTIONS FOR SD COST ESTIMATES.....	146
11.1.1	Compaq ProLiant 7000 Xeon (NT).....	146
11.1.2	HP 9000 model V2250 (HP-UX)	148
11.1.3	HP NetServer LXR Pro 8 (NT).....	150
11.1.4	IBM RS/6000 S70 RS64-II (AIX Unix).....	153
11.1.5	Sun Solaris Enterprise 10000 (Unix Solaris).....	155
11.2	GLOSSARY.....	158

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Key Vendors of Unix-SMPs.....	7
Table 1.2: IBM Mainframes	7
Table 2.3.1: CPU load factors of the R/3 dialog benchmark modules.....	16
Table 2.3.3: CPU load for SD	19
Table 2.5: Performance gains with R/3 distribution	25
Table 3.3: Shortlist SPECint95 performance of current microprocessors (1/99)	28
Table 3.2: Overview current microprocessors (1/99)	29
Table 3.4: Short list SPECfp95 performance of current microprocessors (1/99)	33
Table 3.7.1: Shortlist projected SPECint95-performance summer 2000	39
Table 3.7.2: Future microprocessors	42
Table 3.8: Short list speculative SPECint95 performance in the year 2002	45
Table 4.1.1.1: Gain in application performance due to level 2 caches (Pentium Pro)	49
Table 4.1.1.2: Small influence of the L2 cache on the SPECint95-Benchmark.....	49
Table 4.1.2: NT Scaling for 1-4 processors (Pentium Pro 512KB)	50
Table 4.1.3.1: NT Scaling for 4-8 processors (NEC, Pentium Pro 1024KB L2).....	52
Table 4.1.3.2: The best four-way NT machines with Pentium Pro 1024KB L2-cache	54
Table 4.1.3.3: The best six-way NT machines with Pentium Pro 1024KB L2-cache	54
Table 4.1.3.4: The best eight-way NT machines with Pentium Pro 1024KB L2-cache.....	54
Table 4.1.3.5: Windows NT Scaling without Enterprise Edition (PPro 256KB)	55
Table 4.2.1: Unix-Scaling (Sun Solaris 2.6, UltraSparc 250 MHz).....	55
Table 4.2.2: Unix-Scaling (HP-UX 10.20 and 11.00, PA-RISC 8200 with 200 MHz).....	56
Table 4.2.3: Unix-Scaling (Siemens Reliant Unix, MIPS R4400MC/200MHz, older model)	56
Table 4.2.4: Unix-Scaling (Siemens Reliant Unix, MIPS R10000/200MHz)	56
Table 4.2.5: Unix-Scaling (older Bull system running AIX 4.1.2 Unix, Power PC 601 75MHz)	56
Table 4.3.1: AS/400e-Scaling (OS/400, PowerPC AS A35 135MHz).....	57
Table 4.3.2: S/390-Scaling under Parallel Sysplex.....	58
Table 5.1: Result matrix by platform.....	59
Table 6.1.1: The NT Team.....	63
Table 6.1.3: NT performance up to 2002 (32 bit-NT+VLM, PII/PIII, SMP without clusters).....	66
Table 6.1.4: NT performance up to 2002 (32 bit-NT+VLM, PII/PIII, classical cluster).....	68
Table 6.1.5: NT performance up to 2002 (32 bit-NT+VLM, PII/PIII, virtual cluster).....	71
Table 6.2.1: Expected performance for HP PA-RISC until 2001 (without clustering).....	72
Table 6.2.2.1: Expected performance for Sun Solaris/UltraSparc SMPs until 2002	74
Table 6.2.2.2: Expected performance for Sun Solaris/UltraSparc clusters until 2002.....	75
Table 6.3.1: Speedups of the AS/400e	78
Table 6.3.1.2: Possible speedups with AS/400-clusters	79
Table 6.3.2.1: Speculative speedups with S/390, Amdahl Millenium and HDS Skyline.....	79
Table 6.3.2.2: Speculative speedups with S/390-cluster.....	80
Table 6.4: Trend matrix for future developments.....	81
Table 8.1.1.1: R/3 market share by operating system per 31.3.98.....	90
Table 8.1.1.2: R/3 market share by hardware vendor (<i>not</i> operating system) per 31.3.98	91
Table 8.1.1.3: Top-30 tpmC-results (ranks 1-18 as of 1/99), no clusters	93
Table 8.1.1.4: Top-tpmC results as of 1/99, clusters only	95
Table 8.1.2.1: Top-30 results 3-tier client/server SD benchmark (ranks 1-16 as of 1/99).....	100
Table 8.1.2.2: \$/SD as SAP price/performance metric	104
Table 8.2.3: IBM S/390 family performance.....	113
Table 8.2.4.1: Pro AS/400 – AS/400 Survey of IS-Managers, Information Week 1997	115
Table 8.2.4.2: Contra AS/400 – AS/400 Survey of IS-Managers.....	115
Table 8.2.8: Costs savings with Linux compared to NT client/server – rough estimate	122
Table 8.2.9: Near linear scaling with Sequent ccNUMA (Unix, Oracle8)	124
Table 8.3: Overall Result Matrix for R/3 Platforms (without databases)	128
Table 9.1: Market share of the important R/3 databases per 31.3.98	130
Table 9.1.2: Result Matrix for Clusterability.....	133
Table 9.2.1: Database cost by performance, operating system and vendor	135
Table 9.2.2: R/3 package deal prices for non-mainframe databases (Q1/98)	137

Table 9.3.1: Result matrix databases for R/3.....	138
Table 11.1.1.1: Parts listing ProLiant 7000 Xeon database server.....	147
Table 11.1.1.2: Parts listing ProLiant 7000 Xeon application server.....	147
Table 11.1.1.3: Parts listing disks for ProLiant 7000 Xeon.....	147
Table 11.1.1.4: Total cost for ProLiant 7000 Xeon.....	148
Table 11.1.2.1: Parts listing for HP V2250 database server.....	149
Table 11.1.2.2: Parts listing HP K380 application server.....	149
Table 11.1.2.3: Parts listing disk space HP.....	149
Table 11.1.2.4: Total cost HP V-class.....	150
Table 11.1.3.1: Parts listing HP LXr Pro 8 database server.....	151
Table 11.1.3.2: Parts listing HP LX Pro 4 application server.....	151
Table 11.1.3.3: Parts listing HP LXr Pro 8 application server.....	152
Table 11.1.3.4: Parts listing disk space HP.....	152
Table 11.1.3.5: SSDs.....	152
Table 11.1.3.6: Total cost HP LXr Pro8 PPro.....	153
Table 11.1.4.1: Parts listing IBM S70 RS64-II.....	153
Table 11.1.4.3: Parts listing disk space IBM S70.....	154
Table 11.1.4.4: Total cost IBM S70.....	155
Table 11.1.5.1: Parts listing Sun E10000 database server.....	156
Table 11.1.5.2: Disk space E10k.....	156
Table 11.1.5.3: Total cost E10000.....	157
Table 11.2: Technical terms, product names, vendor names.....	158

List of Figures

Fig. 2.3.3.1: Good linear correlation between tpmC & SD users.....	20
Fig. 2.3.3.2: HP V2250 Response time vs. SD users.....	22
Fig. 3.3: SPECint95 by CPU-Family.....	32
Fig. 3.4: SPECfp95 by CPU-Family.....	34
Fig. 3.7: SPECint95 by CPU-Family for Mid-2000.....	41
Fig. 3.8: SPECint95 by CPU-Family for Mid-2002.....	47
Fig. 4.1.2: NT scaling with processor counts from 1-4.....	50
Fig. 4.1.3.1: NT scaling with processor counts from 1-8.....	52
Fig. 4.1.3.2: NT scaling with processor counts from 1-8.....	53
Fig. 6.1.2: Performance/Cost trends for Intel/NT.....	64
Fig. 6.1.3: Expected speedups for mainstream NT.....	67
Fig. 6.1.4: Expected speedups for NT clusters.....	69
Fig. 6.2.1: Expected speedups for HP-UX/PA-RISC.....	73
Fig. 6.2.2.1: Expected speedups for Sun Solaris Unix / UltraSparc.....	76
Fig. 6.2.2.2: Expected speedups for Sun Solaris Clusters.....	77
Fig. 7.1: Cost distribution for 5 years.....	85
Fig. 7.2: Platform-dependent Costs.....	86
Fig. 7.3: Cost distribution for an Unix/HP configuration over 5 years.....	87
Fig. 7.4: Costs for server hardware (5 years).....	88
Fig. 7.5: Price/performance improvements overcompensate R/3s growing resource needs.....	89
Fig. 8.1.1.1: Operating systems by tpmC.....	92
Fig. 8.1.1.2: Operating systems by tpmC – with clusters.....	96
Fig. 8.1.2.1: Top-30 SD users & response time by operating system.....	98
Fig. 8.1.2.3: Rough correlation between \$/tpmC and \$/SD.....	102
Fig. 9.2.1: Database cost vs performance.....	136
Fig. 10.1.2: R/3 total installations by database (as of 3/98).....	140